

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 are pending in the present application, claim 8 having been added herein. The Office Action and cited references have been considered. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claim 1 was objected to due to a noted informality. Claim 1 has been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. The claims reviewed and have been amended to place them in better conformance with U.S. practice. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 and 3-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Vogler (U.S. Patent No. 6,843,415). Claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Vogler in view of Bauer (U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0216969). These rejections are respectfully traversed for the following reasons.

Claim 1 recites an apparatus for processing electronic tag information, the apparatus receiving product identification codes read from electronic tags, the apparatus comprising a processor, and a storage device, coupled to the processor, having a computer program stored therein, wherein product information is provided, or stored in the storage device, and wherein the product information includes: for a set of individual products, a product name identification code, a product name, and a price; for the individual products contained in the set, a product name identification code, a product name, and a unit price;

and a number of the individual products contained in the set. The computer program causes the processor to perform the steps of (a) for each product identification code read from an electronic tag and provided, incrementing a corresponding product cumulative count for a corresponding product name identification code, (b) determining whether the product identification code identifies the set of individual products or the individual products themselves by referring to the product information, (c) if it is determined that the product identification code identifies the set of individual products, subtracting the number of the individual products in the set from a product cumulative count of the individual products; (d) calculating the mathematical product of a product price and a product cumulative count for each product name identification code; and (e) outputting a receipt to a printer based on the product cumulative count and the calculated mathematical product. This is not taught, disclosed or made obvious by the prior art of record.

The claims have been amended to clarify that "a product identification code" means an identification code including a product serial number, whereas "an identification code" means, for example, manufacturer and product class identification code, or product name identification code in the original claims (see Fig. 4 showing one to one correspondence between ID code and product name). In particular, Applicant has changed "identification code" to "product name identification code" in the claims. Taking into consideration of various cases, the symbols n_j , N_i , and N_k were used. However, Applicant has deleted these symbols n_j , N_i , and N_k in order to clarify with at least one set product.

Applicant respectfully submits that the limitations in claim 1 of, *inter alia*, "(b) determining whether the product identification code identifies the set of individual

products or the individual products themselves by referring to the product information, [and] (c) if it is determined that the product identification code identifies the set of individual products, subtracting the number of the individual products in the set from a product cumulative count of the individual products", is not disclosed by Vogler.

To illustrate the workings of the present claimed invention, consider that a set product PA having 6 individual products Pa in a package with RFID tags for the set product and for the individual products is used in the following example. The number "6" is stored in a storage device as product information. Letting product cumulative count on PA and Pa be COUNT_A and COUNT_a, respectively, the following steps (a)-(c) are performed:

A tag of Pa is read.	COUNT_a = 1	COUNT_A = 0
A tag of Pa is read.	COUNT_a = 2	COUNT_A = 0
A tag of Pa is read.	COUNT_a = 3	COUNT_A = 0
A tag of PA is read.	COUNT_a = 3 - 6 = -3	COUNT_A = 1
A tag of Pa is read.	COUNT_a = -2	COUNT_A = 1
A tag of Pa is read.	COUNT_a = -1	COUNT_A = 1
A tag of Pa is read.	COUNT_a = 0	COUNT_A = 1

Assuming that the cost of product PA and Pa is \$580 and \$60 per one, respectively, then the calculation in step (d) yields:

$$\$580 * \text{COUNT}_A + \$60 * \text{COUNT}_a = \$580 * 1 + \$60 * 0 = \$580.$$

When product PA*1 with 6 Pa, and Pa*5 are purchased, the calculation yields: $\$580 * 1 + \$60 * 5 = \$880$.

When product PA*1 with 5 Pa, and Pa*5 are purchased, the calculation yields: $\$580 * 1 + \$60 * 4 = \$820$. In this case, because of the steps recited in claim 1, there is no problem caused by the fact that one product Pa is missing from the set product PA.

With respect to claim 2, when a product PA*1 (which normally contains 6 Pa, as determined from the product information) with only 5 Pa is purchased, the calculation of claim 1 yields $\$580*1 + \$60*(-1) = \$520$, with no problem of missing one product Pa in the set product PA. In this case, information associated with the product name identification code whose product cumulative count is negative, is output to warn of the deficiency.

Vogler describes, at col. 2, line 62 to col. 3, line 1,

three data fields: manufacturer, product class, and serial number. The manufacturer field uniquely distinguish [sic] one manufacturer from another. For a given manufacturer, the product class field uniquely distinguish [sic] one product class from another. And the serial number field uniquely distinguishes one particular item from another item of the same product class and manufacturer.

That is, all the three data fields are required to distinguish any actual product instance from another.

Applicant respectfully submits that the Office's interpretation of Col. 2, lines 49-67 and Col. 3, lines 1-4 as teaching "product class function as a set product code, while the serial number functions as the individual product code" on page 3, lines 14-15 is incorrect. According to the teachings of Vogler, each set product and individual products have an electronic product code or tag, each having 96 bits, defining the above listed three data fields. Using the system of Vogler, if the package of a set product is open and the individual products therein are taken out for sale, respective tags on the individual products are required. In contrast, because of the product information stored or provided, *i.e.*, including, for a set of individual products, a product name identification code, a product

name, and a price, for the individual products contained in the set, a product name identification code, a product name, and a unit price, and a number of the individual products contained in the set, and the calculations performed in steps (a)-(c), the present claimed invention permits that an accurate price be provided when a set is sold which contains less than all of the individual items normally found within that set.

The Office asserts that "Vogler's system will have a total amount of items held by a store, and subtract each item removed from the total amount held by the store." The Office cites Col. 2, lines 58-67, Col. 3, lines 1-4, and col. 4, lines 1-24 as allegedly supporting this assertion. However, this section only discusses what happens within the inventory control system when an item is sold (or added to inventory). There is no teaching or suggestion that a running count of the individual items within a set of individual items be kept as the individual items and/or the set of individual items is sold. Thus, Vogler does not teach or suggest the ability offered by Applicant's claimed invention to provide an accurate price when a set is sold which contains less than all of the individual items normally found within that set.

Bauer discloses an inventory management system keeping track of a product's price. However, Applicant respectfully submits that with the exception of storage of the product price, Bauer does not remedy the deficiencies of Vogler discussed above.

For at least these reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-8 are patentable over the prior art of record whether taken alone or in combination as proposed in the Office Action.

In view of the above amendment and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections of record. Applicant submits that the application is in condition for allowance and early notice to this effect is most earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner has any questions, he is invited to contact the undersigned at 202-628-5197.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By /Ronni S. Jillions/
Ronni S. Jillions
Registration No. 31,979

RSJ:me
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\bn\m\matu\NAMIKI1\pto\2008-06-18AmendmentNAMIKI1.doc

USSN 10/582,620

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION - ANNOTATED COPY

SPECIFICATION

APPARATUS FOR PROCESSING ELECTRONIC TAG INFORMATION, POS
TERMINAL, AND PROGRAM FOR PROCESSING ELECTRONIC TAG
INFORMATION

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0001] The present invention relates to an apparatus for processing electronic tag information, a POS terminal, and a program for processing electronic tag information.

BACKGROUND ART

[0002] The popularization of systems are expected which use electronic tags instead of barcodes and receive radio waves radiated from electronic tags to read product information. For example, by being equipped with a plurality of antennas for a tag reader and switching antennas to scan, it is possible to read information of plural tags at high speed

(Patent Literature 1).

[0003] By the way, a set product in which a plurality of individual products are packaged, for example, a carton in which ten packs of cigarettes are contained is a unit for sale, and an electronic tag is stuck on the carton. However, when separately selling the individual products ~~of~~ within a set product, i.e., a unit, electronic tags must be stuck on respective packs at a retail store, which is complicated. Therefore, it is desirable to stick electronic tags on both ~~of the carton and every inner packs at a the~~ factory.

[0004] Although the inside packs can not be seen from the outside, a tag reader can not distinguish on which of the outside and inside boxes electronic tags are attached. Thus, ~~the both of the~~ the both of the electronic tag information is read through radio waves, and the problem of double ~~count~~ count-counting occurs.

[0005] Specifically, when reading electronic tags of both of a carton of products and individual products for separate sale, there arise a problem in sale management because ~~thea~~ thea tag reader ~~can not~~ cannot distinguish between a tag stuck on

a product inside the carton and a tag stuck on a individual product for separate sale.

[0006] The problem of double ~~count-counting~~ will be solved by writing information ~~of-regarding~~ whether or not a product is for separate sale ~~for-on~~ each electronic tag and information that no counting of individual products in a carton ~~and-which~~ cannot ~~not-be~~ separated for sale.

[0007] However, in a case where set products are entered into a retail store to decide whether they are to be separately ~~ailed-sold~~ or not, it is necessary, at the stage of separate ~~ellsales~~, to write information whether or not a separate sale is permitted for each electronic tag, which is complicated. Furthermore, there arises a problem of double ~~count-counting~~ when the writing is not performed because of forgetting the writing, the writing time being not enough, or a radio wave for writing being too weak.

[0008] Hereinafter, "set product" and "individual product" may include ~~there~~ packages (wrapping paper, packaging bag or packaging container), and "the individual product" may not be packaged.

[0009] **PATENT LITERATURE 1:** Publication number 2000-187715 of Japanese patent application

~~DISCLOSURE OF INVENTION~~ BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0010] FIG. 1 is a block diagram showing a schematic configuration of a POS system of a first embodiment according to the present invention.

[0011] FIG. 2 is a block diagram showing a configuration of an electronic tag in FIG. 1.

[0012] FIG. 3 is schematic perspective view of relationship between a set product and individual products having electronic tags.

[0013] FIGs. 4(A), (B), and (C) are illustrations of a product table, a set product table, and an electronic tag, respectively.

[0014] FIG. 5 is a flow chart showing the processing of a sales statement and verification program, which was loaded

into memory of a storage device 43 from a host computer 50,
in a mode of reading electronic tag information.

[0015] FIGS. 6(A)-(D) are illustrations of a sale-statement
table explaining the processing of FIG. 5.

[0016] FIGS. 7(A) and (B) are illustrations of the sale-
statement table explaining the processing of FIG. 5.

[0017] FIGS. 8(A) and (B) relate to a second embodiment of
the present invention, (A) is an illustration of a
hierarchical structure of a set product, and (B) is an
illustration of a set product table corresponding to this
structure.

PROBLEMS TO BE SOLVEDSUMMARY

[0018] ~~{0009}~~ One object of the present invention is to
output a proper statement of sale even if electronic tags are
attached to both of a set product and individual products
contained in the set product. Accordingly to the present
invention, this can be accomplished by a database managed in
a unified way comprises a product table having data of

product codes and set flags each indicating whether it is a set product or not, and a set product table having data of product codes of the respective set products, and the product code and number of individual products contained in each set product. For each product ID provided, a POS terminal increments a cumulative count "Ni" of the product (S4). When determined that the product ID is of a set product with referring to the product table (S3, S5), the set product table is referred to subtract the number "nj" of individual products contained in the set product from the cumulative count "Nj" thereof (S6, S7). In response to the end of reading (S8, S9), information is output associated with a product identification code whose count is not zero and the count. Accordingly, one ~~an~~ object of the present invention is to provide an apparatus for processing electronic tag information, a POS terminal, and a program for processing electronic tag information which can output a proper statement of sale even if electronic tags are attached to both of a set product and individual products contained in the set product.

[0019] ~~{0010}~~ Another object of the present invention is to provide an apparatus for processing electronic tag

information, a POS terminal, and a program for processing electronic tag information which can verify whether or not individual products are contained in a set product without excess or deficiency even if the inside of the set product can not be seen from the outside.

~~SOLUTION MEANS FOR SOLVING THE PROBLEMS~~

[0020] ~~[0011]~~—In one aspect of an apparatus for processing electronic tag information according to the present invention, the apparatus receives product identification codes read from electronic tags, the apparatus comprises:

a processor; and

a storage device, coupled to the processor, having a computer program stored therein;

wherein product information is provided, or stored in the storage device,

characterized in that the product information includes: an identification code of a set product; an identification code of individual products contained in the set product; and a number of the individual products, and

the computer program causes the processor to substantially perform the steps of:

(a) for each product identification code read from an electronic tag and provided, incrementing a corresponding product cumulative count N_i , where "i" corresponds to a product identification code;

(b) referring to the product information, when judging that the product identification code read from the electronic tag and provided is a set product, subtracting a number n_j of individual products of the set product from a product cumulative count N_j of the individual products; and

(c) in response to the end of information-reading from at least one electronic tag, outputting information associated with a product identification code whose product cumulative count N_k is not zero and the product cumulative count N_k .

~~ADVANTAGEOUS OF THE INVENTION~~

{0021} ~~{0012}~~ With the above described aspect of the present invention, it is possible to output a proper statement of sale even if electronic tags are attached to both of a set product and individual products contained in the set product. Therefore, when separately selling the individual products in the set product, it is not necessary to attach electronic

tags to the individual products at a retail store. Furthermore, there is no need to write information showing to be separate sale into electronic tags, therefore it is possible to prevent from occurring calculation error in sale-statement because of forgetting to write the information and thereby the way of calculation becoming different.

[0022] ~~[0013]~~ Moreover, it is possible to prevent from occurring disadvantage to buyer and dealer even if a set product has an excess or deficiency, and also the excess or deficiency of the individual products contained in the set product can be verified even if the contents can not be seen from the outside.

PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS FOR IMPLEMENTING THE INVENTION

[0023] ~~[0014]~~ Referring to the drawings, embodiments of the present invention will be described hereinafter.

First embodiment

[0024] ~~[0015]~~ FIG. 1 is a block diagram showing a schematic configuration of a POS system of a first embodiment according

to the present invention.

[0025] ~~[0016]~~ Electronic tag 20 is equipped with a substrate, an antenna coil pattern 21 provided onto the substrate, and an IC chip 22.

[0026] ~~[0017]~~ The IC chip 22, as shown in FIG. 2, comprises elements 23-26. Power supply circuit 23 is equipped with a capacitor connected in parallel to the antenna coil 21, and a rectification circuit. The electronic current induced in the antenna 21 by electromagnetic waves within the antenna coil 21 is rectified, and an electronic charge is accumulated to the capacitor to drive a transmitting and receiving circuit 24 and a control circuit 25.

[0027] ~~[0018]~~ After the starting of drive, a tune signal received with antenna 21 is demodulated by the transmitting and receiving circuit 24 and provided to a control circuit 25. When the signal is a data read command, the control circuit 25 reads data stored in non-volatile memory 26 and supplies to the transmitting and receiving circuit 24. The transmitting and receiving circuit 24 performs a phase modulation for a carrier wave to provide to the antenna 21.

When the signal is a data write command, the control circuit 25 writes data from the transmitting and receiving circuit 24 into the non-volatile memory 26. The stored information in the non-volatile memory 26 includes at least a product identification code.

[0028] ~~{0019}~~ Referring back to FIG. 1, a reader/writer 30 performs information-reading from the electronic tag 20 and information writing into the electronic tag 20 through radio waves.

[0029] ~~{0020}~~ The reader/writer 30 comprises elements 31-34. In the reader/writer 30, in the case of reception, a transmitting and receiving circuit 32 demodulates a signal from an antenna 31 and stores data of the demodulated signal through a control circuit 33 into buffer memory in a communication port 34. In the case of transmission, the reverse operation is performed. The control circuit 33 controls the operation of the transmitting and receiving circuit 32 and the communication port 34.

[0030] ~~{0021}~~ In FIG. 1, although a single antenna 31 is shown for simplification, a plurality of antennas may be

provided to scan with switching from one to another antenna in order.

[0031] ~~[0022]~~—A read command, a write command and data to be written are provided to the communication port 34 from a POS terminal 40, and read data is provided to the POS terminal 40 from the communication port 34.

[0032] ~~[0023]~~—The POS terminal 40 comprises elements 41-51. A processor 41 is coupled through a bus 42 to a storage device 43 and a communication port 44, and is also coupled through interfaces 45A, 45B and 45 C and LAN adapter 46 to an input device 47, a display 48, a printer 49 and a host computer 50, respectively. The storage device 43 is provided with a memory device and an external storage such as a hard disk drive.

[0033] ~~[0024]~~—A plurality of POS terminals 40 are coupled through a LAN to one host computer 50, and a reader/writer 30 is provided for each POS terminal 40. In FIG. 1, only one set of the reader/writer 30 and POS terminal 40 is shown for simplification.

[0034] ~~[0025]~~—A database 51 for managing the sale and stock

of products under one umbrella is stored in an external storage coupled to the host computer 50.

[0035] ~~[0026]~~ This embodiment has characteristics in that the database 51 contains a specific table of products and a specific table of set products, and in that the storage device 43 stores a specific program for processing with referring to the tables.

[0036] ~~[0027]~~ FIG. 3 is a perspective illustration showing a relationship between a set product and individual products.

[0037] ~~[0028]~~ The set product 10 has "n" individual products 101 to 10n packed in an opaque package, and an electronic tag 20 is stuck on the set product 10 and electronic tags 201 to 20n are stuck on individual products 101 to 10n, respectively.

[0038] ~~[0029]~~ It is not possible because of electromagnetic interference to read electronic tag information if the antenna 31 receives response radio waves at the same time from a plurality of electronic tags on which radio waves have been irradiated from the antenna 31 at the same time. Therefore, it is ~~so~~ arranged so that more than one electronic

tag is not included in a readable range 52 shown with an alternate-long-and-short-dash-line. The size of the readable range 52 depends on the shape of antenna 31, the interval between the antenna 31 and the +-electronic tag, and a radio frequency. The readable range 52 is scanned in "X" direction in relation to the set product 10. For example, this scan is performed by switching a plurality of antennas 31 in order in such a manner described above.

[0039] ~~[0030]~~—FIGS. 4(A) and 4(B) show a product table and a set product table, respectively.

[0040] ~~[0031]~~—The product table includes the fields of a product identification code (product ID), a set flag "F", a product name, a retail price and others. The set flag "F" means whether it is a set product or not, ~~and where~~ F = "1" corresponds to a set product and F = "0" corresponds to an individual product. Assume that in FIG. 3, the product identification code, the product name and the retail price of the set product 10 are ID1, PN1 and 980 yen, respectively, and that the individual products 101-10n are identical to each other and the product identification code, the product name and the retail price of each of the individual products

101-10n are ID2, PN2 and 100 yen, respectively.

[0041] ~~[0032]~~—The set product table in Fig. 4(B) shows the composition of each set product with F = "1" in the product table, and set products and individual products are respectively represented as "PRODUCT A" and "PRODUCT E". If a record of the set product table is, for example, ID1, ID2, n2, 0, ..., it shows that the set product having the product identification code ID1 are packed with n2 individual products having the product identification code ID2. "0" after "n2" is an end mark, which shows that this set product contains no other individual product.

[0042] ~~[0033]~~—On the other hand, there are a read mode and a ~~write~~—write mode to the tag as operation modes of the POS terminal 40 of FIG. 1, and the read mode includes a sale-statement mode and a verification mode. The verification mode is used for verifying whether or not a set product is packed with individual products of a predetermined number. The operation mode data is entered from input device 47 and stored in a memory of storage device 43 by the processor 41, and the read or write mode data is provided through the communication port 34 from communication port 44 to the

control circuit 33 to be held.

[0043] ~~[0034]~~ FIG. 5 is a flow chart showing the contents of sale-statement/verifying program loaded in the memory of storage device 43 from the host computer 50, in the read mode. Hereinafter, parenthesized characters are ~~step-identification~~ ones of the steps shown in FIG. 5.

[0044] ~~[0035]~~ A call signal from antenna 31 is scanned to the electronic tags, and in response to this signal, stored information including a product identification code is read from an electronic tag. The reader/writer 30 reads the information to store into the buffer memory (queue) in the communication port 44. On the other hand, an empty sale-statement table has been created in the memory of storage device 43 in an initialization process. In the case of the verification mode, as described below, this sale-statement table functions as a verification table. The contents of the sale-statement table are displayed on the screen of the display 48.

[0045] ~~[0036]~~ (S1) If there is data of one or more product identification codes in the buffer memory at the

communication port 44, then a product identification code IDi thereof is taken out in, for example, FIFO_order, and the processing goes to step S2, or else the processing goes to step S8.

[0046] ~~[0037]~~—(S2) If this product identification code IDi doesn't exist in the sale-statement table, then the processing goes to step S3, or else the processing goes to step S4.

[0047] ~~[0038]~~—(S3) With this product identification code IDi as a retrieval string, the product table (PRODUCT T) is referred to so as to find the product name, set flag "F" and unit price of the matched product identification code IDi, and these are entered into the sale-statement table.

[0048] ~~[0039]~~—(S4) The variable Ni, whose initial value is 0, of the cumulative count field of this product identification code IDi is incremented by one. With this, the sale-statement table becomes as shown in FIG. 6(A).

[0049] ~~[0040]~~—(S5) If the set flag "F" corresponding to this product identification code IDi is equal to "1", i.e. the

product is a set product, then the processing goes to step S6, or else the processing returns to step S1.

[0050] ~~[0041]~~ (S6) With this product identification code IDi as a retrieval string, the set product table (SET T) is referred to so as to find a product identification code IDj and a number nj of individual products "j" of each subset (individual products "j" having different product-identification ~~code~~codes) consisting of the set product having the product identification code IDi, and the above described processing of steps S2 and S3 is performed for each product identification code IDj of individual product.

[0051] ~~[0042]~~ (S7) From ~~The~~the variable Ni, whose initial value is 0, of the cumulative count field in the sale-statement table, nj is subtracted. With this, the sale-statement table becomes as shown in FIG. 6(B). Next, the processing returns to step S1.

[0052] ~~[0043]~~ (S8) If the sum key of the input device 47 is pushed, then the processing goes to step S10, or else the processing goes to step S9.

[0053] ~~[0044]~~ (S9) If the communication port 44 has a read end code, then the processing goes to step S10, or else the processing returns to step S1. The read end code is provided from the control circuit 33 to the communication port 44 through the communication port 34 and is written in the buffer memory when the control circuit 33 has judged that a time has elapsed more than a set period of time after the last reading of stored information from an electronic tag.

[0054] ~~[0045]~~ Note that instead of the control circuit 33 judging the elapsed time, it may be such a configuration that according to a program, the processor 41 measures the consecutive processing time of the repeating loop of steps S1, S8 and S9 as a elapsed time.

[0055] ~~[0046]~~ In the case of FIG. 3, until completely reading of all the product identification codes of the electronic tags 20 and 201-20n, the processing of steps S1-S7 (which includes the case of processing a loop of steps S1, S8 and S9 and going to S2 from step S1) is repeated. With this, the sale-statement table becomes as shown in FIG. 6(C).

[0056] ~~[0047]~~ (S10) Referring to the memory of storage device

43, if the mode is a sale-statement mode, then the processing goes to step S11. If the mode is a verification mode, then the processing goes to step S13.

~~[0057] [0048]~~ (S11) The partial sums and sum of the sale-statement table are calculated. With this, the sale-statement table becomes as shown in FIG. 6(D). In the sale-statement table, each product data in which the cumulative count is not zero is used for the print of receipt. The input device 47 is ~~operates~~ operated to enter a ~~receipt~~ received amount of money, thereby the change is calculated, and the receipt having these data, the date and so on is output from the printer 49.

~~[0058] [0049]~~ (S12) In regard to any individual product "k" with $F = "0"$ in the sale-statement table, if the cumulative count N_k is a negative value, the processing goes to step S15, or else the processing of FIG. 5 ends for a group of products which a customer has purchased.

~~[0059] [0050]~~ (S13) In the verification mode, for any individual product "k" with $F = "0"$ in the sale-statement table, if the cumulative count N_k is not zero, the processing goes to step S15, or else the processing goes to step S14.

[0060] ~~[0051]~~ (S14) The verification processing for one or plural set products end with displaying "OK" on the screen of the display 48.

[0061] ~~[0052]~~ (S15) ~~A blinking~~ ~~Blinking~~ display is performed for a row whose cumulative count N_k of an individual product ($F = "0"$) is not 0 (but, a row of $N_k < 0$ when the processing has gone to step S15 from step S12) in the sale-statement table displayed on the screen of the display 48, and an alarm is output from a speaker not shown in the drawings.

[0062] ~~[0053]~~ In such a way, verification for set products is automatically performed not only in the verification mode but also in the sale-statement mode, and the above described blinking and alarm inform a purchaser of it if there is any missing ~~product~~ ~~products~~. FIG. 7(A) shows the case where there is a missing product.

[0063] ~~[0054]~~ Even if a set product has a missing element(s), ~~which will never give disadvantageous to a the buyer will not be disadvantages,~~ because the fee for the element(s) is automatically subtracted from the total amount. It is

possible to save the labor of the cashier, since there is no need to exchange the set product if the buyer consents.

[0064] ~~[0055]~~ FIG. 7(B) shows a case where a cumulative count of individual products packed in a set product is ~~excess~~
exceeded by one, or a case containing a set product and a separated individual product. In a case of excess, if a cashier notices the excess in comparing data on the screen of the display 47 with the sold products, the cashier will inform a buyer of ~~the~~ the excess.

[0065] ~~[0056]~~ As described above, the following advantages are derived from this first embodiment.

[0066] ~~[0057]~~ (1) It is possible to correctly output a sale-statement even if electronic tags are attached to both of a set product and individual products packed in the set product.

[0067] ~~[0058]~~ (2) It is possible to prevent disadvantages from occurring ~~disadvantage~~ to the buyer and the dealer, even if a set product has an excess or deficiency. Furthermore, if the set product has a missing element, it is automatically detected and reported by the processing of sale-statement.

[0068] ~~{0059}~~ (3) When separately selling individual products in a set product, it is not necessary to attach electronic tags to the individual products at a retail store. Furthermore, there is no need to write information showing to be separate sale into electronic tags, therefore it is possible to prevent ~~from occurring calculation error errors~~ from occurring in the sale-statement because of forgetting to write the information and thereby the way of calculation becoming different.

[0069] ~~{0060}~~ (4) Specifically, when making set products ~~of~~ for a season or bargain bargains uniquely found at a retail store and selling them, even if the contents of the set products can not be seen, the kind and number of the contained products can be verified.

[0070] ~~{0061}~~ (5) Since it is possible to identify a set product by looking up a table, it is not necessary to write information showing to be a set product or not into respective electronic tags, therefore it is possible to prevent from occurring forgetting to write the information or writing error. Furthermore, the change is easy because it is

only required to change the contents of the table.

~~[0071]~~ ~~[0062]~~—(6) Since a product table has a field of set flag, there is no need to refer to a set product table when judging whether or not it is a set product, thereby achieving a high-speed processing.

Second embodiment

~~[0072]~~ ~~[0063]~~—FIG. 8(A) shows a hierarchical structure of products of a second embodiment according to the present invention.

~~[0073]~~ ~~[0064]~~—The present invention can be applied to a set product having a hierarchical structure of multi-level, too, and in this embodiment, the set product consists of a three-level hierarchy. The highest level hierarchy 60 shows that a set product of identification code ID1 contains three individual products of an identification code ID2 and two individual products of an identification code ID3. The middle level hierarchy 61 shows that the set product of identification code ID3 contains one individual product of an identification code ID4 and three individual products of an

identification code ID5. The lowest level hierarchy 62 shows that the set product of identification code ID4 contains two individual products of an identification code ID6.

[0074] ~~[0065]~~—The product of product identification code ID3 is the individual product of hierarchy 60 and is the set product of hierarchy 61, and the product of product identification code ID4 is the individual product of hierarchy 61 and is the set product of hierarchy 62.

[0075] ~~[0066]~~—In this case, each set flag "F" of identification codes ID1, ID3 and ID4 has a value of "1" in a product table.

[0076] ~~[0067]~~—It is clear that the processing is properly done by performing the processing of FIG. 5 for every level of hierarchy with F = "1". Nk at steps S12 and S13 is a cumulative count for every individual product(s) (for every product ID of individual product(s)).

[0077] ~~[0068]~~—In actuality, the reading of electronic tags isn't always done for every hierarchy in order. However, processing complication is avoided because the processing is

properly done regardless of hierarchical structure or order of reading electronic tags if the processing is performed in accordance with FIG. 5.

~~[0078]~~ ~~[0069]~~ Although the set product table of FIG. 4(B) is easy to look when it is displayed, there are many zero data and the storage amount of the table becomes large. Therefore, used is the set product table of a list structure as shown in FIG. 8(B). No. is a number, e.g. a serial number, which never ~~overlap~~overlaps, and is automatically entered by a DBMS (Data Base Management System) in addition of a record. Assume that No. is not zero. Pointer indicates a No. of the next record following the current record, and the pointer of zero value means that further individual product isn't contained in the current level hierarchy.

~~[0079]~~ ~~[0070]~~ When using this set product table, a corresponding product table includes a pointer pointing to a No in the set product table as a replacement of a set flag F in FIG. 4(A), and in a case of individual product, the pointer is set at zero. The following is a portion of the product table corresponding to FIG. 8(B).

product ID	pointer	product name	price
ID1	1	PN1	1400
ID2	0	PN2	100
ID3	102	PN3	600
ID4	157	PN4	80
ID5	0	PN5	200
ID6	0	PN6	40

[0080] In FIG. 5, if this pointer is 0 at step S5, the processing returns to step S1, or else the processing goes to step S6.

[0081] ~~[0071]~~ Which of product identification codes ID1 and ID3 is earlier read at step S1 depends on the directions of products, the attached positions of electronic tags, the way of scanning tags by reader/writer, and so on. When taking out ID3 at step S1, the following processing is done at steps S6 and S7.

[0082] ~~[0072]~~ (S6) The record of No. = 102 in the set product table is obtained to perform the processing of steps S2 and S3 in regard to ID4. Since the pointer of this record is at 103, the record of No. = 103 is obtained to perform the

processing of steps S2 and S3 in regard to ID5. Since the pointer of this record is at 0, the processing goes to step S7.

~~[0083]~~ ~~[0073]~~ (S7) In regard to the count N4 and N5 of the products of ID4 and ID5, the subtraction of

$$N4 \leftarrow N4 - 1, N5 \leftarrow N5 - 3$$

are performed. Next, the processing returns to step S1.

~~[0084]~~ ~~[0074]~~ After that, ~~When~~ when taking out ID1 at step S1, the following processing is done at steps S6 and S7.

~~[0085]~~ ~~[0075]~~ (S6) The record of No. = 1 in the set product table is obtained to perform the processing of steps S2 and S3 in regard to ID2. Since the pointer of this record is at 2, the record of No. = 2 is obtained to perform the processing of steps S2 and S3 in regard to ID3.

~~[0086]~~ ~~[0076]~~ (S7) In regard to the count N2 and N3 of the products of ID2 and ID3, the subtraction of

$$N2 \leftarrow N2 - 3, N3 \leftarrow N3 - 2$$

are performed. Next, the processing returns to step S1.

~~[0087]~~~~[0077]~~ In this way, the processing of steps S6 and S7 is done only for one-level hierarchy in which the treating product of identification code IDi is a set product. With this, overlap processing is avoided regardless of a hierarchical structure or reading order of electronic tags.

~~[0088]~~~~[0078]~~ Since a list table is used in this second embodiment, it is possible to process at a higher speed, and also to insert the information of a set product into any row of the set product table.

~~[0089]~~~~[0079]~~ In a set product table, such a connection flag G may be used as a replacement of the pointer that if G = "1", then the next record is regarded as one in the same level hierarchy, and if G = "0", then it means that there is no more individual product contained in the same level hierarchy.

~~[0090]~~~~[0080]~~ Note that the present invention includes various kinds of modifications.

~~[0091]~~~~[0081]~~ For example, instead of using a set product table, such information may be written in an electronic tag 20, as shown in FIG. 4(C), as a product identification code

of a set product, a set flag, and a product identification code and a number of individual products contained in the set product.

~~[0092]~~~~[0082]~~—In this case, there may be such a way of writing information into an electronic tag only for one set product, making the reader/writer 30 read this according to instructions of an interactive input-program, and making the information as a record add to the set product table of database 51 through the POS terminal 40.

~~[0093]~~~~[0083]~~—This method enables to add a record to a set product table especially when making a unique set product with actually confirming the set product on a retail outlet side. Therefore, it is effective in preventing an entry mistake and easy for a salesperson to add the record.

~~[0094]~~~~[0084]~~—In the above-described case, when the information of the set product can not be written in one electronic tag because many kinds of individual products are contained in the set product, such a way may be as writing the information into a plurality of electronic tags.

~~[0095]{0085}~~ Such a configuration may be as judging whether a product is a set one or not referring to the set product table of FIG. 4(B) without being provided with set flag in the product table of FIG. 4(A).

~~[0096]{0086}~~ Furthermore the database 51 of FIG. 1 may be stored in the storage device 43. The above-described tables in the database 51 may be updated through a network such as the Internet.

~~Brief description of the drawings~~

~~[0087]~~

~~{FIG. 1} A block diagram showing a schematic configuration of a POS system of a first embodiment according to the present invention.~~

~~{FIG. 2} A block diagram showing a configuration of an electronic tag in FIG. 1.~~

~~{FIG. 3} A schematic perspective view of relationship between a set product and individual products having electronic tags.~~

~~{FIG. 4} (A) and (B) are illustrations of a product table and a set product table, respectively.~~

~~{FIG. 5} A flow chart showing the processing of a sales~~

~~statement and verification program, which was loaded into memory of a storage device 43 from a host computer 50, in a mode of reading electronic tag information.~~

~~{FIG. 6} (A) (D) are illustrations of a sale statement table explaining the processing of FIG. 5.~~

~~{FIG. 7} (A) and (B) are illustrations of the sale statement table explaining the processing of FIG. 5.~~

~~{FIG. 8} (A) and (B) relates to a second embodiment of the present invention, (A) is an illustration of a hierarchical structure of a set product, and (B) is an illustration of a set product table corresponding to this structure.~~

[0097]~~[0088]~~

- 10 set product
- 101-10n individual product
- 20, 201-20n electronic tag
- 21, 31 antenna
- 22 IC chip
- 23 power supply circuit
- 24, 32 transmitting and receiving circuit
- 25, 33 control circuit
- 26 nonvolatile memory
- 30 reader/writer

34, 44 communication port
40 POS terminal
41 processor
42 Bus
43 storage
45A-45C interface
46 LAN adapter
47 input device
48 display
49 printer
50 host computer
51 database
52 readable range
60-62 hierarchy
F set flag